

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov.

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9403	
09/451,699	11/30/1999	CHRIS K WENSEL	019778.0216		
7	590 07/25/2002				
BAKER & BOTTS L L P			EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			WON, YOUNG N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PRS				
•	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/451,699		WENSEL, CHRIS	K				
omes Action Cammary	Examiner		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Young N Won		2155	dross				
Period for Reply		oneet wan the co	rrespondence de	ure33				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 November 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	USC 8 119(a)-	(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (I Notice of Informal Pa Other:						

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-27 have been examined.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Page 15 line 6 #100. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily

Art Unit: 2155

published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burnett et al. (US Pat No.6006018).

## Independent:

As per claim 1, Burnett teaches a method (see abstract: 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence) for traversing a boundary in a distributed processing environment (see Fig.1 and col.4 lines 54-66), comprising: storing connection protocol information in a connection properties table for each boundary which may be traversed by a client network (see col.5 lines 21-22, col.7 lines 46-49, and col.10 lines 3-5); receiving a request from a client object on the client network for access to a server object on a server network (see abstract and col.2 lines 23-26 & 62-67), the server network having a server network boundary (see abstract: "translation gateway", col.2 lines 40-43, and col.8 lines 39-41); locating an entry in the connections property table corresponding to the requested server object (see Fig.6 #88 and col.9 lines 35-55); formatting a boundary traversal key from the connection protocol information associated with the located entry in the connection properties table (see col.5 lines 49-50: "PAGs"); and forwarding the request for access and the boundary traversal key to the server network (see col.5 lines 55-57).

As per claim 12, Burnett teaches of a distributed computing system (see abstract: 1<sup>st</sup> sentence), comprising: a client object on a first network operable to request access to a server object on a second network (see abstract and col.2 lines 23-26 & 62-67); a

Art Unit: 2155

third network connecting the first network to the second network (see Fig.1 and Fig.2); a connections properties table associated with the first network and including an entry for each of one or more second networks accessible by the first network, the connections properties table including connection protocol information for accessing the one or more second networks (see Fig.6 #88 and col.9 lines 35-55); a connection manager (see Fig.3 #32) operable to generate a boundary traversal key for requests for access to server objects that have a corresponding entry in the connections properties table, the boundary traversal key generated from the corresponding connection protocol information (see col.5 lines 49-50 & 55-57, and col.7 lines 28-32).

As per claim 23, Burnett teaches of a distributed processing system (see Fig.1 #10A, #10B, & #10C, and col.4 line 2) with transparent (see col.7 lines 45-46) boundary traversal, comprising: a client system operable to request access to a plurality of server systems (see abstract and col.2 lines 23-26 & 62-67), at least one of the server systems having a boundary device for controlling access to the server system by the client system (see abstract: "translation gateway", col.2 lines 40-43, and col.8 lines 39-41); a connection properties table stored in a private directory on the client system, the connection properties table including: an identification range for identifying the at least one server system having the boundary device; a boundary type for identifying a type of the boundary device; authentication information for uniquely identifying the client system to the boundary device and a requested server system; and attributes for providing traversal information required by the boundary device (see Fig.6 #88 and col.9 lines 35-55); a boundary traversal key generator operable to generate a boundary traversal key

Art Unit: 2155

for gaining access to the requested server system, the boundary traversal key generated from the connection properties table in response to the boundary traversal key generator locating an entry matching the requested server system (see col.5 lines 49-50 & 55-57, and col.7 lines 28-32).

#### Dependent:

As per claim 2, Burnett further teaches of determining a connection type from the located entry in the connections property table (see col.9 lines 44-51).

As per claim 3, Burnett further teaches of passing the request for access to an object request broker (see Fig.3 and col.6 lines 39-40: "Translator") after the client network determines that the request for access is to an object residing outside the client network (see col.8 lines 1-13).

As per claim 4, Burnett further teaches wherein the object request broker (see Fig.3 and col.6 lines 39-48) locates the entry (see col.9 lines 44-51), formats the boundary traversal key (see col.6 lines 60-61), and forwards the request for access and the boundary traversal key to server network (see col.5 lines 58-60 and col.7 lines 46-49).

As per claim 5, Burnett further teaches wherein storing connection protocol information includes storing a boundary identifier, a connection type, authentication information, and connection attributes in the connection properties table (see col.5 lines 21-22, col.7 lines 46-49, and col.10 lines 3-5).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claims 6, 7, and 8, Burnett further teaches wherein locating an entry includes matching an Internet protocol address, a domain name, and a port address for the server object to the boundary identifiers stored in the connection properties table (see col.7 lines 26-28, col.9 lines 44-54, and col.24 lines 50-53).

As per claim 9, Burnett further teaches wherein formatting the boundary traversal key includes building the boundary traversal key from the authentication information and the connection attributes in a format defined by the connection type (see col.7 lines 26-32).

As per claim 10, Burnett further teaches wherein forwarding the request includes forwarding the request for access and the boundary traversal key to the server network boundary (see col.5 lines 58-60 and col.7 lines 46-49).

As per claim 11, Burnett further teaches of further comprising receiving the request for access and the boundary traversal key at the server network boundary; allowing access to the server object if the server network boundary accepts the boundary traversal key; and denying access to the server object if the server network boundary rejects the boundary traversal key (see col.7 lines 23-26).

As per claim 13, Burnett further teaches of further comprising a default connection manager operable to establish a connection between the client object and the server object using a default protocol for requests for access to server objects that do not have a corresponding entry in the connection properties table (see col.7 lines 26-41 & lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 14, Burnett further teaches wherein the third network is an Internet (see col.3 lines 64-65).

As per claim 15, Burnett further teaches of further comprising an object request broker operable to facilitate communications between the client object and the server object across the third network (see col.7 lines 34-36).

As per claim 16, Burnett further teaches wherein the connection manager is part of the object request broker (see Fig.3 and col.6 lines 39-45).

As per claim 17, Burnett further teaches wherein the connection properties table includes a boundary identifier for identifying the server object on the second network; a connection type for identifying the type of connection protocol used by the second network; authentication information for providing identity and credential information to the second network; and attributes for providing boundary traversal key information to the second network (see col.5 lines 21-22, col.7 lines 46-49, col.9 lines 44-54, and col.10 lines 3-5).

As per claim 18, Burnett further teaches wherein the connection properties table is stored in a private directory on the first network (see col.6 lines 45-48).

As per claim 19, Burnett further teaches wherein the boundary traversal key is generated from the authentication information and the attributes from an entry in the connection properties table corresponding to the server object on the second network (see col.7 lines 26-32).

As per claim 20, Burnett further teaches wherein the boundary identifier is an identifier selected from the group consisting of an Internet protocol address, an Internet

Art Unit: 2155

protocol address range, a partial Internet protocol address, a domain name, a partial domain name, or a port address and a port address range (see col.7 lines 26-28, col.9 lines 44-54, and col.24 lines 50-53).

As per claim 21, Burnett further teaches wherein the connection type indicates a TCP/IP connection, an SSL connection, an HTTP Tunneling connection, or a UDP/IP connection (see col.4 lines 30-33).

As per claim 22, Burnett further teaches wherein the authentication information includes user identification and a password (see col.19 lines 35-43).

As per claim 24, Burnett further teaches of further comprising a network for connecting the client system to the server system (see Fig.1 #3).

As per claim 25, Burnett further teaches of further comprising an object request broker operable to facilitate communications between the client object and the server object across the network (see col.7 lines 34-36).

As per claim 26, Burnett further teaches wherein the network is an Internet (see col.3 lines 64-65).

As per claim 27, Burnett further teaches wherein the boundary traversal key generator is part of the object request broker (see Fig.6 and col.9 lines 35-55).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Young N Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-305-5352 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Young N Won

July 17, 2002

' AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100